

Washington State
Department of Health
Board of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery
Meeting Minutes
November 17, 2006

The meeting of the Washington State Board of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery was called to order by Daniel Dugaw, DO, Chair, at 9:05 a.m. The meeting was held at: St. Francis Hospital, 34515  $9^{\rm th}$  Avenue South, Board Room, Federal Way, Washington 98003.

Board Members Present: Daniel Dugaw, DO, Chair

William Gant, Public Member, Vice Chair

Thomas Shelton, DO
Thomas Bell, DO
Catherine Hunter, DO
Roger Ludwig, DO
Larry Smith, DO

Staff Present: Blake Maresh, Executive Secretary

Melissa Burke-Cain, Assistant Attorney

General

Arlene Robertson, Program Manager Joe Mihelich, Administrative Staff

Guests Attending: Kathi Itter, Executive Director,

Washington Osteopathic Medical

Association

Kim O'Neal, Assistant Attorney General

#### Open Session

- 1. Call to Order
  - 1.1 Approval of Agenda

The agenda was approved as published.

1.2 Approval of Minutes - September 15, 2006 meeting The minutes of the September 15, 2006 meeting were approved as submitted.

- 1.3 Approval of Minutes October 6, 2006 conference call
   minutes
   The October 6, 2006 conference call minutes were
   approved.
- 1.4 Approval of Minutes October 25, 2006 conference call
   minutes
   The October 25, 2006 conference call minutes were
   approved.
- 2. 9:30 Rules Hearing

WAC 246-853-085 Approved colleges and schools of osteopathic medicine and surgery (CR102 Proposed Rule Making - WSR 06-19-107)

## ISSUE

A hearing was held to consider the proposed rule for approval of colleges and schools of osteopathic medicine and surgery. Ms. Itter indicated that WOMA supported the rule.

### ACTION

The rule clearly defines that all schools accredited by the American Osteopathic Association Commission on Osteopathic College Accreditation are approved by the Board. Graduates from these colleges and schools will be eligible for licensure in Washington provided all of the other licensure requirements have been met. The Board adopted the rule as written.

- 3. Prosecuting Cases Presentation by prosecuting assistant attorney general, Kim O'Neal

  ISSUE Hearing process training
  The Board's disciplinary actions have increased and have included several summary suspensions and cases being scheduled for hearing. There are also new board members and others who have had little experience participating in a hearing.
  - Ms. O'Neal provided an overview of the Administrative Procedures Act which establishes the process that must be followed when charges have been made against a licensee. The respondent must be afforded an opportunity for due process to present their position on the charges. She also noted that the Uniform Disciplinary Act establishes the definitions for unprofessional conduct, which are used when taking most actions. Due to recent court cases, the Assistant Attorney General prosecuting the case will require an expert for standard of care cases. During the hearing both attorneys must get their position and testimony

on the record. It is important for the hearing panel to have all of the information from both sides relative to the charges. However, it is also important for establishing the record for cases that may be appealed. The judge performing the review will only have the exhibits provided in the case and a transcription of the proceedings when considering the basis for appeal. Although some of the information may seem unnecessary or duplication, it is all relative to the respondent's due process.

#### 4. Rules

- 4.1 Retired Volunteer Medical Worker License Opportunity to provide feedback on the rules language
  - 4.1.1 ESHB 1850
  - 4.1.2 CR101
  - 4.1.3 Draft language and supporting documents presented at the workshops

#### ISSUE

The Department of Health is holding workshops and taking input to implement ESHB 1850 which establishes a retired volunteer medical worker license.

## ACTION

The following issues were of concern to the Board:

- There could be conflicts with Federal law regulating emergencies.
- Registration fees should be funded by the practitioner's program.
- Continuing competency requirements should not be a burden. The training needs to be available and resources identified where it can be obtained. The level of training should be applicable to the type of practitioner. Training should be funded through Federal or State funding sources, otherwise practitioners will not participate. There is funding provided through the Home Land Security agency for such purposes. It was suggested that DOH should apply for grants to obtain funding for training.
- Not all of the practitioners listed would be used in an emergency, i.e., sex offender treatment providers.
- Practitioners not credentialed by a hospital or other facility are not going to be able to practice in that facility.

Staff working on the rules will be advised of the Board's comments.

- 5. Practice Issues
  - 5.1 Discuss patient safety initiatives being pursued by the Department of Health. (Standing Agenda Item)
    There were no new items for discussion.
  - 5.2 Selling Goods for Profit
    - 5.2.1 Supreme Court Case No. 78635-6 Review applicability to osteopathic practice.

The rebating law has been unclear pertaining to practitioners involvement in selling medical products to patients. In one section it appears to be prohibited and in another it is permitted under some circumstances with practitioner disclosure of their financial interest in the business providing the service or product. Ms. Burke-Cain indicated the Supreme Court decision identifies that products can be marketed by health care professionals for profit.

#### ACTION

The Supreme Court decision ruled on whether RCW 19.68.010 is an "antikickback" statute or an antiprofit statute. The Supreme Court indicated that RCW 19.68.010 and .020 prohibits profits earned from referring patients, not profits from treating patients or providing goods or services. The Courts' ruling appears to resolve the question related to profiting from products provided directly to patients by medical professionals.

- 6. Disciplinary Issues
  - 6.1 Disciplinary Guidelines

Review draft guidelines with Board processes. Consider whether to use them on a trial basis.

- 6.1.1 Disciplinary Guidelines Manual (DRAFT)
  - 6.1.1.1 Sanctions Worksheet (DRAFT)
  - 6.1.1.2 Case Disposition Worksheet (DRAFT)
  - 6.1.1.3 Reviewing Board Member Worksheet (REVISED-DRAFT)

#### ISSUE

The Board reviewed a draft Disciplinary Guidelines Manual. The draft incorporates the elements of the Department of Health Disciplinary Guidelines and the Board's procedures. The Board members will be able to refer to the manual as well as the Reviewing Board Member Worksheet when conducting case reviews. At the time of board review and case

disposition, the Case Disposition Worksheet and if applicable, the Sanctions Worksheet would be completed.

## ACTION

It was noted that the worksheet questions could be more helpful in outlining what the review board member should consider in making a recommendation. Ms. Burke-Cain offered to assist staff in revising the worksheet questions.

The Board approved using the sanction guidelines for a trial period of  $six\ months$ .

# 7. Licensing

7.1 Physician Assistant Program Approval
University of Saint Francis
2701 Spring Street
Fort Wayne, Indiana 46808

#### ACTION

The University of Saint Francis, Physician Assistant Program was approved by the Board. Graduates from the program will be eligible for licensure as an osteopathic physician assistant.

- 8. Program Manager Reports
  - 8.1 Budget Report October 2006 ACTION

Ms. Robertson provided the budget report. Due to disciplinary expenditures the budget is exceeding allocations. Staff will continue to closely monitor the budget.

8.2 Washington Physicians Health Program - September 2006 Statistical Information
The report was informational, no action was necessary.

# 9. Executive Director Reports

9.1 Operating Agreement Between the Department of Health and the Board of Osteopathic Medicine and Surgery  ${\tt ISSUE}$ 

Mr. Maresh provided background information on the Operating Agreement. It is intended to establish a framework for the Board to receive services from the Department.

#### ACTION

The Board approved renewing the Operating Agreement for another biennium. It was recommended the Operating Agreement be added to the board member manual.

- 9.2 Department/Division Updates
  Mr. Maresh updated the Board on the progress of the ILRS
  project. It continues to move forward. Many employees are
  currently involved in workgroups to address the data and
  output needed to have a complete licensing and
  disciplinary system.
- Mr. Maresh indicated that Section 5 has experienced a number of staffing changes which includes losing both the Licensing and Disciplinary managers. The hiring process is underway to get them replaced as soon as possible.
- Mr. Maresh reported he had recently attended the Administrators in Medicine meeting of the Western and Central Regions representatives. Discussions at the meeting included a report by the Citizens Advocacy Council on hospital reporting to the two national data banks. Mr. Maresh also noted that he has been appointed Western Region Representative for the upcoming year.
- 9.3 Federation of State Medical Boards
  - 9.3.1 2007 Resolutions, Candidate Nominations
  - 9.3.2 Associate Membership

There were no resolutions or candidate nominations to submit to the Federation.

10. (Open Session) Default Presentation
Kent R. Gebhardt, DO - Docket No. 04-10A-10810P
Ms. O'Neal presented a Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law,
and Final Order of Default in the above referenced case.
The Board held deliberations in Executive Session. As the
reviewing board member, Dr. Shelton was recused from the
decision. The respondent will be advised of the Board's
decision by mail.

## Closed Session

- 11. Report Reviews/Investigative Authorizations
  Two reports were reviewed and approved for investigation.
- 12. Disciplinary Case Reviews Reviewing Board Member Reports

  CASE NUMBER DISPOSITION

2004-12-0006OP	Refer to Legal; Statement of Charges
2005-07-00090P	Closed Below Threshold, after investigation
2005-03-0006OP	Refer to Legal; Stipulation to Informal
	Disposition

2006-04-0004OP	Refer to Legal; Stipulation to Informal
	Disposition
2006-02-0011OP	Closed no cause for action; evidence does not
	support a violation
2006-08-0001OP	Closed no cause for action; evidence does not
	support a violation
2006-02-0005OP	Refer to Legal; Statement of Charges
2006-02-00100P	Refer to Legal; Stipulation to Informal
	Disposition
2006-05-0002OP	Closed no cause for action; Care rendered was
	within the standard of care
2006-05-0003OP	Closed no cause for action; Care rendered was
	within the standard of care
2006-05-0004OP	Closed no cause for action; Care rendered was
	within the standard of care
2005-06-0002OP	Closed no cause for action; evidence does not
	support a violation
2006-02-00210A	Refer to Legal; Notice of Correction
2006-03-0006OP	Closed no cause for action; evidence does not
	support a violation
2006-03-0005OP	Closed no cause for action; No violation
	determined
2006-06-0004OP	Closed no cause for action; evidence does not
	support a violation
2004-12-00090P	Refer to Legal; Statement of Charges
2001 12 000001	restor to hogar, beatement of onarged

- 12.1 Statement of Allegations/Stipulation to Informal Disposition presentations
  There were no presentations.
- 13. Open case report

  The Board was provided with a report of open cases.
- 14. Compliance Issues
  There were no compliance issues.
- 15. Application Review
  There were no applications for review.

Respectfully Submitted

Arlene Robertson Program Manager

NOTE: PLEASE VISIT THE WEB SITE FOR FUTURE AGENDAS AND MINUTES - WWW.DOH.WA.GOV. GO TO LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION AND YOU WILL FIND A LIST OF THE HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONS, GO TO OSTEOPATHIC PHYSICIANS FOR AGENDAS AND MINUTES.